

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/07/2003 10/614,323 **Edward Anthony Bezek** CFLAY.00199 7359 EXAMINER 22858 10/25/2005 CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J P O BOX 802334 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT DALLAS, TX 75380 3727

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

レノ	6	,
----	---	---

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/614,323	BEZEK, EDWARD ANTHONY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen J. Castellano	3727		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ıly 2005.			
	-	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,8,11,12,15,16 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 17-25 is/ar	re rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/614,323

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1-26 are pending. Claims 3, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16 and 26 have been withdrawn without traverse. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 17-25 will be treated on their merits.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 13, 14, 17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawaguchi et al. (Kawaguchi).

The previous explanation is in the first Office action mailed April 7, 2005.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 13, 14 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al. (Shimada) in view of Conrad and Zimmer et al. (Zimmer).

Shimada discloses the invention as explained in the previous Office action mailed April 7, 2005. Shimada discloses the invention except for the substantially circular bottom. Conrad teaches a bottle with a circular bottom. It would have been obvious to modify the bottom of Shimada to be circular as a matter of design choice in choosing a bottom shape, the circular shape has the advantage of not trapping liquids in the corners of a polygonal bottom making dispensing easier and more efficient.

Page 3

If it is deemed that the majority oval shape of Shimada is not substantially cylindrical insofar as the majority oval shape of the present invention is oval shaped and is different in some way from the oval shape of the present invention. Conrad teaches an oval shape which is more like a circle as shown in Fig. 5. It would have been obvious to modify the shape of a middle height portion of Shimada's bottle's lateral cross section to be like that of Conrad as a matter of design choice of shape, the more circular less elongated shape of the bottle's cross section has the advantage of smoother flow due to less elongation of the bottle in the lateral direction.

Re claims 9, 10 and 22-25, the explanation of the Zimmer combination is explained in the previous Office action mailed April 7, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant suggests that the body of Kawaguchi is not substantially cylindrical. Insofar as applicant discloses a cylindrical body which is oval in cross section over most of the containers height and circular in cross section at the top and bottom. Kawaguchi discloses in Fig. 18 a bottom view that the container is substantially circular at the top and bottom rather than polygonal shaped and discloses in Fig. 19 a cross section that is more closely related to a circular cross section than a polygonal or square cross section. Therefore, Kawaguchi discloses a substantially cylindrical body with a substantially circular cross section insofar as applicant has disclosed substantially cylindrical. The open and closed ends of Kawaguchi are substantially circular in cross section insofar as these cross sections most closely replicate a circular cross section than another cross section such as polygonal. The deviation of the walls at 202n and 202m is minor and is considered to be "substantially cylindrical." Applicant refers to 212n a wall portion on an embodiment of Fig. 22 not applied and not relied upon in this rejection.

New grounds of rejection have been necessitated by applicant's amendment.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-F 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,323 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc